


Rendering a redeveloped France

Alex Schafran, with Giorgia Aiello, Theresa Enright and Yohann Le Moigne



A digitally rendered whitewashed *banlieue* © Alex Schafran.

As urban redevelopment projects remake the Greater Paris region, it is impossible to separate an avalanche of new investment from long histories of both multiculturalism and race-based stigmatization and multiculturalism. But these are histories that the economic and political authors of the new Paris appear to want to erase. This essay's four authors deconstruct the renderings on billboards created to represent newly renovated banlieue, reflecting on why France's imagined future is unrepresentative of the nation's ethnic and racial diversity.

If you spend time in all but the most affluent corners of the vast Parisian *banlieue* (suburbs), you will notice the cranes, construction sites, and billboards that mark one of the most ambitious metropolitan restructuring projects in Europe: the Grand Paris. A massive nationally led urban

redevelopment plan for Greater Paris launched by former president Nicolas Sarkozy in 2007, the Grand Paris initiative encompasses the Grand Paris Express, which is expanding the public transportation network between Paris and its suburbs; housing renovation and development; new office and commercial construction; and a new regional governance structure (Gilli and Offner 2009).

As France becomes an international symbol of social and racial division,¹ it is impossible to separate the avalanche of investment from the long history of intervention in stigmatized urban areas often branded as “ghettos”. Stigmatization of the *banlieue* impacts not only Greater Paris, but also the peripheries of many French cities.²

In today’s media-dominated age, computer-aided images render the “new” *banlieue* with fake people occupying the imagined development. Billboards display architects’, developers’, or public officials’ vision of the future. It is strikingly obvious that the people featured in the “new” *banlieue* look nothing like the people living there. In the competition for narratives about racialized space, the stigma is often accompanied by whitewashing, or erasure.

Imagined and real residents of the northwestern suburb of Argenteuil



© Alex Schafran.

Studying renderings

Scholars and critics have been paying attention to architectural renderings for some time, first as part of the marketing strategy of developers to sell places, now as part of the increasing digitization of urban space (Rose *et al.* 2014; Kaika 2011). These renderings cause controversy when they show communities being erased (Pfeiffer 2006). The occasional absurdity of these renderings has drawn a snarky following on the blogosphere³ and with creative graffiti artists.⁴

The most serious issue, however, is *who* is represented. Diana Budds has criticized the “sneaky classism”⁵ of architectural renderings, especially in increasingly polarized global cities like San Francisco and London.

¹ See, for example, the *New York Times* article by Michael Kimmelman titled “Paris Aims to Embrace Its Estranged Suburbs” (12 February 2015; available online at the following URL: www.nytimes.com/2015/02/13/world/europe/paris-tries-to-embrace-suburbs-isolated-by-poverty-and-race.html).

² See, for example, Kirkness 2014. There is a rich literature in French and English on the stigmatization of the *banlieue* and its residents, including work like Kirkness’s about the *banlieues* of smaller cities.

³ See, for example: <http://www.electronicvoicephenomena.net/index.php/the-render-ghosts-james-bridle>.

⁴ See, for example: <https://visualmethodculture.wordpress.com/2015/10/19/spotted-at-cambridge-station-cut-and-pasted-people-talk-back>.

⁵ See: www.fastcodesign.com/3048867/an-artists-series-exposes-the-sneaky-classism-of-architectural-renderings.

But in Greater Paris, there does not seem to be anything sneaky about it, and the issue is not just about class, but about the subject that—supposedly—is taboo in “*la République*”: race.⁶ I began documenting renderings in the Parisian *banlieue* in 2011, and between 2012 and 2014 I took 421 photos of 64 different renderings found across a broad cross section of the Île-de-France region.⁷ Some came from hyper-diverse parts of Seine-Saint-Denis, where immigrants are the majority, and others from more mixed or more homogeneously white places. They included official ANRU⁸-funded projects renovating or replacing affordable housing (*habitations à loyer modéré*, or *HLM*) of various types, private housing developments (often public–private partnerships), small-scale street work and large transit projects.

Each individual figure was coded according to various characteristics that indicated perceived demographic characteristics.⁹ Of the 960 different figures identified:

- 51 (5.3%) were nonwhite, 42 were ambiguous, and 867 were clearly white; 32 of the 51 could be coded as “black”; by contrast, there were 102 blonds;
- 2 people could be categorized as wearing some sort of ethnic dress;
- less than 2% of those depicted were youths or teenagers, and 2.6% were clearly seniors; there were roughly the same number of people pushing baby carriages (2%);
- there were 2 people clearly shown to be disabled;
- there were zero women wearing headscarves.

In Bordeaux, the story is similar, though the redevelopment machine is focused as much on the former peripheries of the city proper as its *banlieue*. I live in Bacalan, a once- and still-marginalized former industrial neighborhood on the northern edge of the city where thousands of new units are being built. While many of my new neighbors actually do look like they walked out of the posters, the new development has brought a significant black presence to what has traditionally been primarily a Spanish, North African, working-class white French and Roma neighborhood. This new diversity is not reflected in the renderings, which consistently paint a picture that is whiter and more stereotypically bourgeois than the actual neighborhoods.

⁶ French law prohibits government agencies from gathering data on race or ethnicity as part of a supposed “race-blind” universalism; see below.

⁷ They were taken as part of a wide ranging field project encompassing more than 100 municipalities, including approximately 90% of the *département* of Seine-Saint-Denis, 60% of Hauts-de-Seine, 30% of Val-de-Marne, and a portion of the *grande couronne* (the *départements* that form the outer circle of the Île-de-France region).

⁸ ANRU: Agence Nationale de la Rénovation Urbaine – French National Urban Renewal Agency.

⁹ While we recognize that this is an inexact science, and it is very uncomfortable to be coding someone based on skin color and appearance, the grossness of the figures belie any concern about margin of error.

Bassins à Flot or Bassins à Blancs? Rendering of the redeveloped district around Bordeaux's former wet docks (*bassins à flot*)



© Alex Schafran.

With all the political and academic attention given to questions of representation, integration, and participation in the *banlieue* and in urban space, why is such a diverse array of imaginations so whitewashed? To understand better, I invited three colleagues with expertise relevant to this question to interpret my findings: Yohann Le Moigne,¹⁰ a French scholar of race relations in California, Theresa Enright, a Canadian scholar and author of *The Making of Grand Paris*, and Giorgia Aiello, an Italian scholar of visual communication and an expert on stock photography.

Yohann Le Moigne: The French nation was partly built around a color-blind universalist ideal (De Rudder *et al.* 2000). As a consequence, race is considered unimportant by many and white people are often not really aware of their whiteness or of the privileges that they get from it (Laurent and Leclère 2013). In this context, whiteness, which is nothing but a particularism of the dominant group, is transformed into a universal characteristic, so that it is not considered problematic to represent only white people in such renderings. Those who either complain about it or just notice it are considered, at best, “communitarianists,” and at worst, racists or reverse racists, “antiwhite racists.”

Second, the *banlieue* is racialized in France (Wacquant 2008; Fassin 2012; Fassin and Fassin 2006; Lapeyronnie and Courtois 2008). While in reality it is both a socially and demographically heterogeneous space, there are deeply rooted negative representations about these territories and their inhabitants. Most people think about “Arabs and Blacks,” and this type of representation also has social repercussions (Laurent and Leclère 2013): a neighborhood mostly made up of white people will be more attractive to a majority of French people than a neighborhood mostly made up of minorities, who are unconsciously associated with poverty, crime and a whole set of “social

¹⁰ Yohann Le Moigne and I have recently collaborated (along with Greg Smithsimon) on a piece for *Herodote* (www.herodote.org/spip.php?article746) on rethinking race in France and in Seine-Saint-Denis, where a lot of the original pictures of renderings were taken.

pathologies,” as well as with the rejection of the national community (“they don’t want to integrate”) in a sort of French materialization of the clash of civilizations prophesied by Samuel Huntington.

There is also the question of the specificity of the Parisian *banlieue* and its role as a major site of state- and private capital-led redevelopment.

Theresa Enright: These architectural, corporate, and state renderings document¹¹ Grand Paris’s ongoing suburban transformation. The postindustrial urban fantasy they depict is composed of high-tech research campuses, elite financial districts, jumbo sports stadiums, upscale megamalls, luxury condo villages, manicured green spaces, extravagant entertainment complexes, pristine waterfront developments, unique cultural hotspots, and smart infrastructures. As marketing tools, the images are most obviously used to rebrand the suburbs and to increase the profitability of metropolitan space. They provide, in other words, a speculative projection of the desired future that can be leveraged into financialized property markets.¹²

As in Haussmann’s Second Empire reforms or the post-World War II overhauls of suburban space, there is a clear *colonial logic* to contemporary projects whereby territories and populations around Paris are being reordered within a racialized political economy of dispossession and exploitation. Not only do these whitewashed and elite visions reflect hegemonic ideals about the “good” city and the “good” citizen, but insofar as they actively revalorize and reconstitute spaces and subjects, they also create novel discriminatory trajectories. In the aestheticized regime of the utopian global city, there is no space for the existing diversity of the *banlieue*.

From a critical urbanism perspective, we know development doesn’t happen in a vacuum. Not only do policy ideas travel, but so do the most mundane architectural practices.

Giorgia Aiello: In addition to being rooted in the racialized politics of the French *banlieues* and the aspirational logics of contemporary urbanism, this imagery is also part of a much broader visual economy. The 2D and 3D people populating architectural renderings, or “render ghosts,” are pre-produced images that are sold online. From billboards to websites, our everyday ambient image environment is overwhelmingly made of stock images¹³ that originate from global image banks. Render ghosts are made to look as generic as possible and therefore to represent malleable “types” of people that can be used across different contexts and for different purposes. They are increasingly sold through subscriptions and as bundles, thus further losing in specificity and uniqueness. And stock imagery is often crafted by freelance photographers, who tend to live and work in large and expensive Western metropolises like London and New York. These photographers, who are largely male and white, will actively use their personal networks (e.g. family, friends, and local models) to produce professional imagery as cheaply as possible. Ultimately, and for reasons that are both cultural and economic, commercial visual genericity still equates whiteness with affluence and popular consumer lifestyles. In this version and vision of a desirable world, cosmopolitanism can only be but a cosmetic veneer of diversity.

The irony is that the racial fantasy being promoted in both Paris’s *banlieue* and redeveloped Bordeaux will not be the future of either place. But the erasure continues, and in many ways, it is a corollary to the supposed “color-blindness” mentioned by Yohann Le Moigne. French urban sociologist Marie-Hélène Bacqué, like other scholars in France, has argued that the French state needs to lift the prohibition on gathering data on race and ethnicity, lest it continue to approach suburban problems without “basic facts.”¹⁴ An even simpler step in this direction is to begin

¹¹ See, for example: www.logement.gouv.fr/Grand-Paris.

¹² See: www.jll.com/cities-research/cities/grand-paris.

¹³ See: <https://ethnographymatters.net/blog/2016/04/28/taking-stock>.

¹⁴ See: www.nytimes.com/2015/02/13/world/europe/paris-tries-to-embrace-suburbs-isolated-by-poverty-and-race.html.

rendering the new France in the full diversity that is both its present and future. Architectural firms, developers, and government officials must stop whitewashing the imagined future, so that French society can come to terms with what the contemporary French metropolis actually looks like.

Bibliography

- De Rudder, V., Poiret, C. and Vourc'h, F. 2000. *L'Inégalité raciste. L'universalité républicaine à l'épreuve*, Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, "Pratiques théoriques" series.
- Fassin, D. (ed.) 2012. *Les Nouvelles frontières de la société française*, Paris: La Découverte, "Poche/Sciences humaines et sociales" series, no. 371.
- Fassin, D. and Fassin, É. (eds.) 2006. *De la question sociale à la question raciale ? Représenter la société française*, Paris: La Découverte, "Poche/Sciences humaines et sociales" series, no. 308.
- Gilli, F. et Offner, J.-M. 2009. *Paris, métropole hors les murs. Aménager et gouverner un Grand Paris*, Paris : Presses de Sciences Po, "Nouveaux Débats" series.
- Kaika, M. 2011. "Autistic architecture: the fall of the icon and the rise of the serial object of architecture", *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, vol. 29; no. 6, pp. 968–992.
- Kimmelman, M. 2015. "Paris Aims to Embrace Its Estranged Suburbs", *The New York Times*, 12 February. Available online at the following URL: www.nytimes.com/2015/02/13/world/europe/paris-tries-to-embrace-suburbs-isolated-by-poverty-and-race.html.
- Kirkness, P. 2014. "The *cités* strike back: restive responses to territorial taint in the French *banlieues*", *Environment and Planning A*, vol. 46, no. 6, pp. 1281–1296.
- Lapeyronnie, D. and Courtois, L. 2008. *Ghetto urbain. Ségrégation, violence, pauvreté en France aujourd'hui*, Paris: Robert Laffont.
- Laurent, S. and Leclère, T. 2013. *De quelle couleur sont les Blancs ? Des « petits Blancs » des colonies au racisme « anti-Blancs »*, Paris: La Découverte, "Cahiers libres" series.
- Pfeiffer, D. 2006. "Displacement through discourse: implementing and contesting public housing redevelopment in Cabrini Green", *Urban Anthropology and Studies of Cultural Systems and World Economic Development*, pp. 39–74.
- Rose, G., Degen, M. and Melhuish, C. 2014. "Networks, interfaces, and computer-generated images: learning from digital visualisations of urban redevelopment projects", *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 386–403.
- Wacquant, L. 2008. *Urban Outcasts: A Comparative Sociology of Advanced Marginality*, Cambridge: Polity Press.

Alex Schafran is a lecturer in urban geography at the University of Leeds, and teaches at the Paris School of International Affairs, Sciences Po Paris, and Sciences Po Bordeaux. His research focuses on urban restructuring and the changing nature of segregation, particularly in his native California.

Yohann Le Moigne is an associate professor of American Studies at the University of Angers (France). His research focuses on racial issues in the US and more specifically on the relations between African Americans and Latinos in urban and suburban contexts.

Theresa Enright is an Assistant Professor of Political Science and Senior Fellow at the Global Cities Institute at the University of Toronto. She is the author, most recently, of *The Making of Grand Paris: Metropolitan Urbanism in the Twenty-First Century* (MIT Press, 2016) and (co-edited

with Ugo Rossi) *The Urban Political: Ambivalent Spaces of Late Neoliberalism* (Palgrave, forthcoming).

Giorgia Aiello is Associate Professor and Director of Research and Innovation in the School of Media and Communication at the University of Leeds. She has written extensively about visual and urban culture. Her latest book is *Communicating the City: Meanings, Practices, Interactions* (co-edited with Matteo Tarantino and Kate Oakley; PIE Peter Lang, 2017).

To cite this article:

Alex Schafran, with Yohann Le Moigne, Theresa Enright and Giorgia Aiello, “Rendering a Redeveloped France”, *Metropolitiques*, 7 June 2017. URL: <http://www.metropolitiques.eu/Rendering-a-Redeveloped-France.html>.